



## Why Peer Review Matters: A Guide for Healthcare Professionals

\* Thangadurai Maheswaran <sup>1</sup>, Abikshyeet Panda <sup>2</sup>, John Baliah <sup>3</sup>, Vadivel Ilayaraja <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India.

ORCID: 0000-0001-9296-5472

<sup>2</sup> Professor and Head, Department of Oral Pathology, Kalinga Institute of Dental Sciences, KIIT deemed to be University, Odisha, India. ORCID: 0000-0003-4319-8742

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Indira Gandhi Institute of Dental Sciences, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Puducherry, India. ORCID: 0000-0001-5557-3656

<sup>4</sup> Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Vivekanandha Dental College for Women, Tamil Nadu, India. ORCID: 0000-0002-1977-5450

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18281776](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18281776)

Submission Date: 29 Nov. 2025 | Published Date: 17 Jan. 2026

\*Corresponding author: **Thangadurai Maheswaran**

Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India.

ORCID: 0000-0001-9296-5472

## Introduction

Peer review serves as the cornerstone of academic publishing, ensuring the scientific validity and credibility of biomedical research [1]. While traditionally viewed primarily as a gatekeeping mechanism, mounting evidence reveals that peer reviews confer multiple educational and professional advantages for healthcare practitioners [2]. Despite these benefits, formal training in peer review remains largely absent from medical curricula, representing a significant gap in professional education [3]. Understanding the multifaceted benefits of peer review participation can motivate clinicians to engage in scholarly activities and support the integration of peer review into continuing professional development frameworks.

## Enhancing Critical Appraisal and Knowledge Acquisition

Participating in peer review substantially strengthens healthcare professionals' critical appraisal competencies, which are essential for evidence-based practice [4]. Reviewers systematically evaluate the research methodology, statistical analyses, and clinical relevance, thereby honing the skills necessary for interpreting the medical literature [5]. This process enables professionals to remain current with developments in their specialties while accessing cutting-edge research before publication. Studies have demonstrated that manuscript review continuing medical education activities effectively facilitate knowledge acquisition, improve competence, and promote changes in professional practice across all career stages [1]. Furthermore, peer review cultivates a deeper understanding of evidence hierarchies and research design principles that directly inform clinical decision-making [4].

## Developing Scientific Writing and Communication Skills

Peer review significantly enhances scientific writing and communication proficiency [6]. Reviewers gain insight into editorial processes and learn to identify strengths and weaknesses in manuscript construction, argumentation and data presentation [5]. Exposure to diverse writing styles improves reviewers' manuscript preparation capabilities and increases publication success rates [6]. Additionally, formulating constructive feedback develops communication skills that are applicable beyond manuscript review, including providing professional criticism to colleagues and trainees in clinical settings [7]. Regular review activity familiarizes practitioners with reporting guidelines and ethical standards, promoting adherence to best practices for research dissemination [3].

## Supporting Professional Development and Career Advancement

Peer review constitutes a recognized scholarly activity that supports career progression in academic medicine [1]. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education acknowledges peer review as a legitimate faculty scholarship, and many institutions favorably consider reviewing activity in promotion decisions [7]. Structured peer-review training programs have significantly positively impacted participants' knowledge, confidence, and professional identity development [3]. For residents and fellows, engaging in mentored peer review fulfils scholarly requirements while building competencies essential for academic careers [7]. Furthermore, participation in peer-review networks creates professional connections and enhances visibility within specialty communities [5].

## Contributing to Quality Improvement and Scholarly Activity

Peer review processes support quality improvement initiatives within healthcare organizations and contribute to the advancement of medical sciences. Evidence shows that peer review in clinical settings promotes reflective practice, professional accountability, and shared learning among practitioners [2]. Engaging in manuscript reviews enables healthcare professionals to influence the dissemination of scientific knowledge and maintain standards within their disciplines [1]. This process facilitates the identification of methodological limitations and potential biases that might compromise research validity, thereby protecting scientific integrity [8]. Additionally, peer review activities fulfil continuing medical education requirements in numerous jurisdictions, providing tangible recognition of reviewer contributions [1].

## Conclusion

Engaging in peer review offers healthcare professionals numerous advantages that go far beyond merely assessing the manuscripts. This process hones critical evaluation skills, improves scientific writing abilities, furthers academic careers, and helps to uphold research standards. Although formal training opportunities are limited, peer-review participation serves as an accessible professional development tool with proven educational benefits. Healthcare organizations and medical education programs should emphasize peer-review training and acknowledge reviewing activities as valid scholarly contributions that merit formal recognition and support.

## References:

1. Kawczak, S., & Mustafa, S. (2020). Manuscript review continuing medical education: a retrospective investigation of the learning outcomes from this peer reviewer benefit. *BMJ open*, 10(11), e039687. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039687>
2. Tang, S., Bowles, A., & Minns Lowe, C. (2022). Peer Review Processes for Quality Improvement in Health Care Settings and Their Implications for Health Care Professionals: A Meta-Ethnography. *The Journal of continuing education in the health professions*, 42(2), 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CEH.0000000000000394>
3. Lim, J. J., Cheetham, L., Graham, C. J., Dunsmore, A. A., & Barrett, A. (2025). How to ... Build a Peer Reviewer Community in Health Professions Education. *The clinical teacher*, 22(1), e70009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.70009>
4. Sasannia, S., Amini, M., Moosavi, M., Askarinejad, A., Moghadami, M., Ziaee, H., & Vara, F. (2022). Critical appraisal skills training to undergraduate medical students: A Randomized Control Study. *Journal of advances in medical education & professionalism*, 10(4), 253–258. <https://doi.org/10.30476/JAMP.2022.94852.1610>
5. Azer, S. A., Ramani, S., & Peterson, R. (2012). Becoming a peer reviewer to medical education journals. *Medical teacher*, 34(9), 698–704. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.687488>
6. Barroga, E., & Mitoma, H. (2018). Improving Scientific Writing Skills and Publishing Capacity by Developing University-Based Editing System and Writing Programs. *Journal of Korean medical science*, 34(1), e9. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2019.34.e9>
7. Smith, L. M., Jacob, J., Prush, N., Groden, S., Yost, E., Gilkey, S., Turkelson, C., & Keiser, M. (2024). Virtual Interprofessional Education: Team Collaboration in Discharge Planning Simulation. *Professional case management*, 29(5), 206–217. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCM.0000000000000717>
8. Metcalfe, M. J., Farrant, M., & Farrant, J. (2010). Peer review practicalities in clinical medicine. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 1, 49–52. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S14279>

## CITATION

Maheswaran, T., Panda, A., Baliah, J., & Ilayaraja, V. (2026). Why Peer Review Matters: A Guide for Healthcare Professionals. In *Global Journal of Research in Dental Sciences* (Vol. 6, Number 1, pp. 7–8). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18281776>