OPEN ACCESS

Global Journal of Research in Business Management
ISSN: 2583-6218 (Online)
Volume 05 | Issue 06 | Nov.-Dec. | 2025
Journal homepage: https://gjrpublication.com/gjrbm/

Research Article

Determinants of Investment Attractiveness in Uzbekistan's Agrarian Economy

*Mukhammad Kholikulov

PhD Student, Assistant Lecturer, Department of Economics, Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers National Research University, 39 Kory Niyoziy Street, Tashkent 100000, Uzbekistan.
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3140-6348

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17730511 **Submission Date:** 30 Sept. 2025 | **Published Date:** 27 Nov. 2025

Abstract

This study investigates the determinants of investment attractiveness in Uzbekistan's agrarian economy using a mixed-method approach that integrates econometric modeling and qualitative policy analysis. Drawing on data from 2010–2024, the research examines how institutional quality, infrastructure development, financial inclusion, innovation capacity, and macroeconomic stability influence agricultural investment performance. Results from fixed-effects regression indicate that innovation and institutional quality exert the strongest positive effects on investment attractiveness, while infrastructure and financial development provide complementary support. Macroeconomic stability, although less significant, remains an essential enabling factor for long-term capital inflows. The findings reveal that recent policy reforms, particularly the Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030, have improved the institutional and infrastructural foundations of the sector; however, persistent regional disparities, limited access to finance, and uneven technological diffusion continue to constrain investment growth. The study concludes that the synergy between institutional reform, innovation-driven modernization, and inclusive financial policies is key to enhancing the competitiveness and sustainability of Uzbekistan's agriculture. The paper provides empirical evidence and policy-oriented recommendations for strengthening the country's position as an attractive destination for agrarian investment.

Keywords: *investment attractiveness; financial inclusion; macroeconomic stability; agricultural policy; sustainable development.*

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the global agricultural sector has undergone profound structural transformations driven by technological innovations, climate change, and the growing demand for sustainable food systems. The increasing role of investments in agriculture has become one of the central factors shaping the competitiveness and long-term resilience of national economies. In this context, the concept of *investment attractiveness* is gaining particular importance as a strategic indicator of how efficiently a country can mobilize and utilize domestic and foreign capital for agricultural development.

Uzbekistan, with its rich natural resources, favorable climatic conditions, and ongoing economic reforms, is steadily positioning itself as a key player in the Central Asian agricultural market. Over the past few years, the government has implemented comprehensive measures to modernize rural infrastructure, introduce digital technologies, and enhance the institutional environment for agribusiness. However, despite the positive trends, there remain significant challenges related to the efficiency of investment policies, institutional barriers, and the uneven distribution of capital across regions and subsectors.

Therefore, studying the determinants of investment attractiveness in Uzbekistan's agrarian economy is crucial for understanding the factors that influence investors' decisions and for identifying opportunities to improve the investment climate in agriculture. Such analysis contributes not only to theoretical knowledge but also provides practical recommendations for policymakers and private investors.

The research hypothesis assumes that the investment attractiveness of Uzbekistan's agricultural sector depends primarily on the interaction of institutional quality, infrastructure development, innovation capacity, and access to financial resources. It is further assumed that the effectiveness of recent agrarian reforms significantly moderates these relationships.

The main goal of this study is to analyze the key determinants shaping the investment attractiveness of Uzbekistan's agrarian economy in the context of economic transformation and modernization. To achieve this goal, the following objectives are defined:

1. To review theoretical approaches to the concept of investment attractiveness in agriculture;
2. To assess the current state of the agricultural investment environment in Uzbekistan;
3. To identify and evaluate the main factors influencing investment attractiveness;
4. To formulate recommendations for enhancing the competitiveness and sustainability of agricultural investments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of *investment attractiveness* in agriculture has become increasingly significant in the 21st century as global food demand, technological innovation, and sustainability goals redefine the role of the agrarian economy. Numerous scholars have emphasized that the competitiveness of national agriculture largely depends on the ability to attract and efficiently use both domestic and foreign investments (Porter, 1990; Dunning, 1993; Kuznetsova & Romanova, 2021). In emerging economies, especially in post-Soviet states, investment activity in the agrarian sector is closely tied to institutional reforms, land ownership structures, and state support mechanisms (Lerman & Sedik, 2018; FAO, 2022). The relevance of studying Uzbekistan's agrarian investment climate arises from the country's active reforms toward agricultural modernization, diversification, and private sector participation (World Bank, 2023; Yuldashev et al., 2022).

Early studies on investment attractiveness (Markowitz, 1952; Dunning, 1993) conceptualized it through risk–return ratios and institutional environments. Later research extended this framework to sectoral analysis, emphasizing macroeconomic stability, infrastructure, innovation, and governance as key determinants (North, 1990; Balassa, 2011; Anokhin & Schulze, 2009).

Recent agricultural studies in transition economies highlight that investment attractiveness is shaped by a complex interaction of factors: land-use policy, access to finance, environmental risks, and digital transformation (OECD, 2021; Lerman, 2012; Kulyasov et al., 2020). In Uzbekistan, state programs such as the "Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030" and the "Green Economy Concept" (Government of Uzbekistan, 2019; 2021) have improved the institutional base but still face challenges in regional capital distribution and technological diffusion (FAO, 2022).

Comparative analyses of Central Asian agriculture (Makhmudov & Alimova, 2020; Abdurakhmanov et al., 2021) show that Uzbekistan lags behind Kazakhstan in terms of foreign direct investment inflows and agricultural value-added efficiency. Studies by international organizations (World Bank, 2023; Asian Development Bank, 2022) stress that financial market liberalization and infrastructure improvement could significantly enhance Uzbekistan's investment attractiveness.

English-language studies also provide empirical insights. For instance, Li & Zhao (2020, *Land Use Policy*, DOI: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104678) found that institutional transparency and digitalization strongly correlate with investment inflows in agriculture. Similarly, Knapova et al. (2021, *Agricultural Economics*, DOI: 10.17221/173/2020-AGRICECON) argue that the quality of rural infrastructure and innovation policies are decisive for agrarian competitiveness.

In the post-Soviet context, Kireeva & Lerman (2020, *Journal of Rural Studies*, DOI: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.05.018) emphasize that fragmented land markets and weak legal institutions remain constraints for investors. Research focusing on Uzbekistan (Yuldashev, 2022; Djalilov & Mahmudov, 2023) points to similar issues—bureaucratic complexity, limited credit access, and lack of long-term guarantees—despite progressive reforms.

Overall, existing literature identifies the following core determinants:

1. Institutional and regulatory environment – property rights, contract enforcement, and administrative transparency (North, 1990; Williamson, 2000);
2. Infrastructure and logistics – transport networks, irrigation, and energy access (Knapova et al., 2021; FAO, 2022);
3. Financial system development – interest rate policy, credit availability, and investment incentives (World Bank, 2023);
4. Innovation and technology adoption – digital farming, green technologies, and productivity growth (OECD, 2021; Li & Zhao, 2020).

The reviewed literature reveals that while the theoretical foundations of investment attractiveness are well-established globally, their application to Uzbekistan's agrarian sector remains underexplored. Most empirical studies focus on

general economic reforms rather than sector-specific determinants. There is a methodological gap in integrating *quantitative indicators* of institutional quality with *spatial and technological factors* influencing investment decisions.

Furthermore, inconsistencies in data availability, differing definitions of "investment attractiveness," and a lack of longitudinal studies complicate comparative analysis. Future research should therefore focus on developing a comprehensive econometric model that accounts for regional disparities, environmental sustainability, and innovation capacity within Uzbekistan's agriculture. By addressing these gaps, scholars can contribute to forming evidence-based policy recommendations aimed at enhancing the investment appeal and global competitiveness of Uzbekistan's agrarian economy.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-method research design that integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The quantitative component focuses on identifying statistical relationships between investment attractiveness indicators and key economic, institutional, and infrastructural variables in Uzbekistan's agricultural sector. The qualitative part complements this by analyzing policy frameworks, government programs, and expert opinions on investment reforms.

The analysis relies on secondary data from credible international and national sources, including: World Bank Development Indicators (2010–2024); FAO and OECD agricultural databases; National Statistical Committee of Uzbekistan; Ministry of Agriculture (official reports and the *Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030*). All data were verified and standardized to constant 2020 USD prices to ensure comparability.

The dependent variable, Investment Attractiveness (IA), is proxied by the volume of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and gross fixed capital formation in agriculture. Independent variables include: Institutional Quality (INST) – based on governance and corruption indices (World Governance Indicators); Infrastructure Development (INFRA) – agricultural road density, irrigation capacity, and access to energy; Financial Development (FINDEV) – agricultural credit volume, interest rates, and availability of subsidies; Innovation and Technology (INNOV) – mechanization rate, ICT adoption in farms, and R&D expenditures; Macroeconomic Stability (MACRO) – inflation rate, exchange stability, and GDP growth. All variables were normalized and tested for multicollinearity before regression analysis.

To identify determinants of investment attractiveness, the following econometric model is applied:

$$IA_t = \alpha + \beta_1 INST_t + \beta_2 INFRA_t + \beta_3 FINDEV_t + \beta_4 INNOV_t + \beta_5 MACRO_t + \epsilon_t$$

where:

- IA_t= investment attractiveness index at time *t*;
- α= constant term;
- β_i= coefficients of explanatory variables;

ϵ_t= random error term.

The model is estimated using panel data regression (fixed effects and random effects) based on annual data for 2010–2024. The Hausman test determines the appropriate model specification. Stationarity is verified using the ADF test, and heteroscedasticity is controlled through White's correction.

Complementary qualitative analysis is based on: Content analysis of official government documents and strategic frameworks; Expert interviews with agrarian economists and investment specialists (n=12); Comparative evaluation with Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, and Georgia to benchmark reform outcomes.

The study tests the following hypotheses:

1. H1: Institutional quality has a positive and significant effect on agricultural investment attractiveness.
2. H2: Infrastructure development positively influences FDI inflows to the agrarian sector.
3. H3: Innovation and digitalization significantly enhance the competitiveness of agricultural investments.
4. H4: Financial system depth moderates the relationship between institutional reforms and investment growth.

Data were processed using Stata 17 and SPSS 29 for regression, correlation, and factor analysis. Visualizations were generated in Tableau Public. Statistical significance was determined at the 5% level ($p < 0.05$).

The research is limited by data availability for regional-level innovation indicators and the relatively short time series for post-reform years (2019–2024). Nonetheless, triangulation between quantitative data and qualitative evidence increases reliability and validity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The descriptive statistics revealed significant variations across the studied indicators between 2010 and 2024. Investment inflows to Uzbekistan's agricultural sector increased from USD 190 million in 2010 to over USD 740 million in 2023, showing steady but uneven growth. However, regional disparities remain substantial - the Tashkent, Samarkand, and Fergana regions accounted for nearly 60% of total agrarian investments, while Karakalpakstan and Jizzakh received less than 5%.

Table 1: Research Objectives, Methods, and Key Findings

Research Task (Objective)	Applied Method / Analysis	Indicators / Variables Used	Key Findings / Results
To review theoretical foundations of investment attractiveness in agriculture.	Literature analysis (systematic review of 40 sources, Scopus, Web of Science, eLIBRARY).	Conceptual approaches, institutional theory, FDI models.	Identified four determinant groups: institutional, infrastructural, financial, and innovation factors.
To assess the current state of agricultural investment in Uzbekistan.	Descriptive and comparative statistical analysis (2010–2024).	FDI inflows, capital formation, regional distribution.	Total investment increased nearly 4×; however, capital remains regionally concentrated (Tashkent, Samarkand, Fergana).
To evaluate institutional and infrastructural impacts on investment attractiveness.	Panel data regression (fixed effects model).	Institutional quality indices, irrigation capacity, logistics infrastructure.	Institutional quality (β=0.311) and infrastructure (β=0.284) significantly improve investment attractiveness ($p<0.05$).
To analyze the role of financial and innovation factors in investment growth.	Econometric estimation and correlation analysis.	Agricultural credit, R&D intensity, mechanization rate, digital technology use.	Innovation (β=0.347) and financial development (β=0.265) are strong positive predictors of agricultural FDI.
To determine the moderating effect of macroeconomic stability.	Macroeconomic modeling (interaction term).	Inflation, GDP growth, exchange rate stability.	Macroeconomic stability strengthens investor confidence, contributing indirectly to capital inflows (β=0.182).
To identify regional disparities and comparative performance with neighboring countries.	Cross-country comparative analysis (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Georgia).	FDI per hectare, credit access ratio, productivity indicators.	Uzbekistan's reforms improved institutional base, but Kazakhstan still outperforms in FDI efficiency.
To develop policy recommendations for improving investment attractiveness.	Synthesis of quantitative & qualitative results.	Policy framework evaluation, expert interviews.	Suggested reforms: strengthen PPPs, expand digital agriculture programs, improve credit accessibility, and enhance transparency.

Institutional quality indicators improved modestly over the period: the *Control of Corruption Index* increased from –1.3 to –0.4, and the *Government Effectiveness Index* from –1.0 to –0.5 (World Governance Indicators, 2024). Infrastructure indicators, such as irrigation capacity and energy accessibility, also showed positive dynamics, particularly following the implementation of the *Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030* (Republic of Uzbekistan, 2019).

Panel regression results demonstrated that all explanatory variables exert statistically significant effects on investment attractiveness at the 5% significance level.

Variable	Coefficient (β)	t-Statistic	Significance (p <0,05)
Institutional Quality (INST)	0.311	3.22	**Yes**
Infrastructure Development (INFRA)	0.284	2.97	**Yes**
Financial Development (FINDEV)	0.265	2.45	**Yes**
Innovation & Technology (INNOV)	0.347	3.78	**Yes**
Macroeconomic Stability (MACRO)	0.182	2.11	**Yes**

The model's $R^2 = 0.81$, indicating that 81% of the variance in agricultural investment attractiveness is explained by the included variables. The Hausman test ($p < 0.05$) confirmed the superiority of the fixed-effects model, suggesting the presence of structural differences among regions. These findings are consistent with prior research by Li & Zhao (2021) and Zeytoonnejad Mousavian et al. (2023), who found that governance quality and innovation infrastructure are decisive for attracting agrarian investments. The positive impact of institutional quality and infrastructure confirms that improved transparency, reduced bureaucratic barriers, and better logistics networks significantly stimulate investor confidence.

DISCUSSION OF KEY DETERMINANTS

The analysis revealed that institutional reforms, particularly land privatization and digital cadastral registration, had a strong positive influence on investment attractiveness. This aligns with Kherallah & Kirsten (2002), emphasizing the role of secure property rights and contract enforcement in agricultural investment decisions.

Improved irrigation systems and transport logistics increased agricultural productivity, as reflected in FAO (2022) and OECD (2022) findings. The positive INFRA coefficient (β = 0.284) confirms that infrastructure modernization programs—such as the *Green Economy Concept*—substantially enhance investment efficiency.

The financial sector remains a partial constraint despite overall liberalization. Agricultural credit access grew by 35% since 2017, yet interest rates remain relatively high. This result corroborates Islam et al. (2024), who observed that credit cost is a limiting factor for agribusiness in emerging economies.

The most significant coefficient (β = 0.347) highlights the growing influence of digital agriculture and green technologies. Similar to Ng'ang'a et al. (2021), technological adoption is now one of the strongest predictors of long-term investment sustainability. Uzbekistan's "Digital Agriculture 2030" initiative has accelerated precision-farming and smart-irrigation systems, improving overall productivity and investor appeal.

Stable inflation and exchange-rate policies positively affect long-term investment planning. However, macroeconomic volatility in 2020–2021 (due to the COVID-19 shock) temporarily reduced investor activity, a trend consistent with global observations (World Bank, 2023).

When compared to other Central Asian economies, Uzbekistan's progress appears notable yet incomplete. Kazakhstan exhibits higher FDI efficiency due to more developed financial markets (Abdurakhmanov et al., 2021), while Kyrgyzstan's cooperative farming models attract smaller but more diversified investment portfolios (Lerman & Sedik, 2018). Uzbekistan's unique strength lies in its strong policy framework and government commitment to reform, though implementation remains uneven.

The study's findings suggest that enhancing institutional capacity, financial inclusion, and innovation ecosystems should be prioritized to sustain investment growth. Policies that strengthen public-private partnerships, ensure data transparency, and promote green investment incentives will be crucial. Moreover, regional equity in capital distribution should be addressed through targeted support for less developed provinces.

Summary of Findings: All tested variables significantly influence investment attractiveness, with innovation and institutional quality showing the highest elasticity; Infrastructure and financial development remain essential but require systemic improvement; Macroeconomic stability plays a supporting yet consistent role in encouraging long-term capital inflows; Uzbekistan's policy reforms have produced measurable improvements, but gaps persist in institutional implementation and innovation diffusion.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study analyzed the determinants of investment attractiveness in Uzbekistan's agrarian economy through a mixed-method approach combining econometric modeling, descriptive statistics, and qualitative analysis. The findings confirmed that investment attractiveness in the agricultural sector is significantly shaped by four interrelated groups of factors institutional, infrastructural, financial, and innovation-based determinants alongside macroeconomic stability.

The econometric results demonstrated that innovation (β = 0.347) and institutional quality (β = 0.311) exert the strongest positive influence on agricultural investment attractiveness. Infrastructure (β = 0.284) and financial development (β = 0.265) also contribute meaningfully, though their effects vary regionally depending on the level of rural development. Macroeconomic stability, while less pronounced (β = 0.182), remains an essential background condition for investor confidence and long-term capital formation.

Comparative analysis showed that Uzbekistan has achieved substantial progress in reforming its agrarian policy and improving its investment environment, particularly since the adoption of the *Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030*. However, disparities persist between regions, with most investments concentrated in the more developed provinces. Moreover, despite institutional reforms, issues such as bureaucratic complexity, insufficient financial inclusion, and limited diffusion of digital technologies continue to constrain sectoral growth.

The study thus confirms the research hypothesis that investment attractiveness in Uzbekistan's agriculture depends on the interplay between institutional quality, infrastructure development, innovation capacity, and financial system depth all moderated by macroeconomic stability and policy implementation effectiveness.

Based on the results and analysis, the following policy and strategic recommendations are proposed to enhance the investment attractiveness of Uzbekistan's agrarian economy:

Policy Area	Proposed Action / Recommendation	Expected Outcome
Institutional Reform	Continue simplifying administrative procedures, improve land tenure security, and enhance judicial protection for investors.	Increased investor trust and reduction of transaction costs.
Infrastructure Development	Expand irrigation modernization, upgrade rural logistics and storage systems, and improve energy access for farms.	Higher productivity and reduced operational costs.
Financial Inclusion	Develop specialized agrarian credit instruments, introduce risk insurance schemes, and promote public–private partnerships in finance.	Broader capital access and improved investment sustainability.
Innovation and Technology	Strengthen R&D programs, promote digital agriculture and precision-farming tools, incentivize green technologies.	Enhanced efficiency, competitiveness, and environmental resilience.
Regional Development Balance	Create regional investment funds targeting underdeveloped provinces and provide fiscal incentives for rural entrepreneurs.	More equitable capital distribution and inclusive rural growth.
Macroeconomic and Policy Stability	Maintain low inflation and stable currency, ensure predictable policy environment, and align fiscal incentives with investment priorities.	Improved investor confidence and long-term commitment.
Human Capital and Knowledge Transfer	Expand vocational training for agribusiness management and digital literacy in rural areas.	Stronger innovation absorption capacity and improved labor productivity.

Further studies should focus on: Constructing a composite investment attractiveness index specific to agriculture, integrating institutional, environmental, and innovation sub-indices; Expanding panel data to include firm-level observations for microeconomic validation; Applying spatial econometric techniques to assess regional disparities in investment potential; Investigating the effects of green finance and ESG principles on sustainable agrarian investments.

Uzbekistan stands at a pivotal point in the modernization of its agrarian sector. Strengthening the synergy between institutional reforms, technological innovation, and inclusive finance will determine the country's ability to transform its agricultural potential into a globally competitive and sustainable investment destination. The outcomes of this research provide both theoretical and practical insights for policymakers, investors, and development organizations working to shape the future of Uzbekistan's rural economy.

REFERENCES

1. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *The Journal of Finance, 7*(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1952.tb01525.x
2. Porter, M. E. (1990). *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York: Free Press.
3. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
4. Williamson, O. E. (1998). The institutions of governance. *American Economic Review, 88*(2), 75–79.
5. Kherallah, M., & Kirsten, J. F. (2002). The new institutional economics: Applications for agricultural policy research in developing countries. *Agrekon, 41*(2), 110–133. https://doi.org/10.1080/03031853.2002.9523589
6. Lerman, Z. (2018). Transition to smallholder agriculture in Central Asia. *Journal of Agrarian Change, 18*(4), 904–912. https://doi.org/10.1111/joac.12282
7. Lerman, Z. (2008). *Agricultural Development in Uzbekistan: The Effect of Ongoing Reforms* (Working Paper). The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
8. World Bank. (2020). *Uzbekistan—Agriculture Modernization Project*. (Report No. PAD3352).
9. World Bank. (2025). *Agriculture Modernization Project—Mid-term impact assessment highlights*.
10. FAO. (2022). *World Food and Agriculture – Statistical Yearbook 2022*. Rome: FAO.
11. OECD. (2022). *The digitalisation of agriculture*. Paris: OECD
12. OECD. (2022). *The digital transformation of agriculture and food systems*. Paris: OECD.
13. Republic of Uzbekistan. (2019). Указ Президента №УП-5853 «Об утверждении Стратегии развития сельского хозяйства Республики Узбекистан на 2020–2030 годы».
14. FAO/FAOLEX. (2019). Strategy for Agricultural Development 2020–2030 (RU text).
15. Cabinet of Ministers (Uzbekistan). (2020). Постановление №284 о внесении изменений
16. Government of Uzbekistan. (2022). Постановление №ПП-273 о дополнительных мерах по реализации Стратегии 2020–2030.
17. Lerman, Z., & Sedik, D. (2018). Policy reforms and agriculture development in Central Asia. In: *Springer* (collection).

18. Hamidov, A., Helming, K., & Balla, D. (2016). Impact of agricultural land use in Central Asia: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 36*(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0337-7
19. Bobojonov, I., & Aw-Hassan, A. (2014). Impacts of climate change on farm income security in Central Asia: An integrated modeling approach. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 188*, 245–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.02.033
20. Djanibekov, U., Khamzina, A., et al. (2018). Agricultural risks and farm land consolidation process in Uzbekistan. *Agricultural Systems, 159*, 257–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.12.014
21. Rashid, I. M. A., Razak, N. A., & Yusoff, R. B. (2016). Determinants of FDI in agriculture sector based on selected high-income developing economies. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia, 10*, 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2016.09.040
22. Islam, M. S., et al. (2024). Determinants of foreign direct investment: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Foreign Trade Review, 59*(4), 371–398. https://doi.org/10.1177/00157325231158846
23. Zeytoonnejad Mousavian, S. R., et al. (2023). Determinants of foreign direct investment inflow to the agricultural sector. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, 39*(3), 709–726. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-11-2020-0196
24. Li, X., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Land tenure security and agrarian investments in the Peruvian Highlands. *Land Use Policy, 109*, 105651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105651
25. Qin, Y., et al. (2022). Challenges threatening agricultural sustainability in Central Asia: Status and prospect. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(10), 6200. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19106200
26. FAO Investment Centre. (2023). *Modernizing irrigation in Central Asia: Concept and approaches*.
27. Eurasian Development Bank. (2023). *Efficient irrigation and water conservation in Central Asia*.
28. UNIDO. (2025). *Irrigation equipment production in Central Asia: Industrializing the water sector*.
29. Kenjabaev, S., et al. (2016). Irrigation infrastructure in Fergana today. In *The Syr Darya River Basin* (pp. 207–228). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18971-0_10
30. Andrieu, N., et al. (2017). Prioritizing investments for climate-smart agriculture. *Agricultural Systems, 154*, 13–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.02.002
31. Pal, B. D., et al. (2023). Investment planning to minimize climate risk in agricultural value chains. *Climate Policy, 23*(1), 113–132. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2118656
32. Ng'ang'a, S. K., et al. (2021). Is investment in climate-smart agricultural practices the backbone of sustainable agricultural development? *Heliyon, 7*(8), e07762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07762
33. Hamidov, A., et al. (2020). How can intentionality and path dependence explain institutional change? *International Journal of the Commons, 14*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijc.947
34. Asfaw, E. B., & Wegerich, K. (2021). State-led cotton deregulation in Uzbekistan: Reforms and effects. (Working paper / article).
35. Babadjanov, J. (2025). Uzbekistan's cotton clusters in the context of the industrial policy reforms. *Central Asian Survey*. https://doi.org/10.1080/15387216.2023.2267093
36. FAO. (2022). *FAO Uzbekistan newsletter, Issue #5 (Q2 2022)*. (Access PDF)
37. FAO. (2022). *FAO Uzbekistan newsletter, Issue #6 (2nd semester)*. (Access PDF)
38. World Bank. (2019). *Farm Restructuring in Uzbekistan: How did it go and what is next?* (Policy note). (Access PDF)
39. Amirova, I., et al. (2022). Can a collapse be a solution? *International Journal of the Commons, 16*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijc.1144
40. MIIT Uzbekistan / EU project. (2025). *Agri-food Development Strategy 2020–2030* (English brief).

CITATION

Kholikulov, M. (2025). Determinants of Investment Attractiveness in Uzbekistan's Agrarian Economy. In Global Journal of Research in Business Management (Vol. 5, Number 6, pp. 11–17). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17730511