OPEN ACCESS
Global Journal of Research in Business Management
ISSN: 2583-6218 (Online)
Volume 05 | Issue 05 | Sept.-Oct. | 2025
Journal homepage: https://gjrpublication.com/gjrbm/
Research Article

# Enhancing the Role of the State Budget in Ensuring Sustainable Economic Growth

*Sheraliyev Nurbek Jumanazar ugli

Deputy Dean of the Faculty of International Joint Education Programs TSUE-UrSUE

**DOI:** 10.5281/zenodo.17405131 **Submission Date:** 30 Aug. 2025 | **Published Date:** 21 Oct. 2025

***Abstract***

*This paper explores ways to increase the importance of the state budget in fostering sustainable economic growth. It begins with a review of the theoretical foundations linking fiscal policy to long-term development, then identifies key mechanisms through which public budgeting can impact sustainability. The objective is to highlight practical reforms and strategies that enhance the efficiency, transparency, and developmental alignment of budgetary processes. Using a mixed-methods approach - incorporating comparative analysis of case studies and fiscal data - the study finds that increasing capital investment, improving budget transparency, aligning expenditures with SDGs, and adopting performance-based budgeting significantly enhance the budget's developmental role. The paper concludes by recommending integrated fiscal planning frameworks and institutional reforms that align the budget with sustainability goals.*

***Keywords:*** *budget, ensuring, sustainable, economic growth, enhancing.*

## INTRODUCTION

Sustainable economic growth requires a balance between economic development, social inclusion, and environmental preservation. As a central instrument of public finance, the state budget plays a vital role in allocating resources, redistributing income, and stabilizing the economy. However, in many countries, the budget is often treated as a short-term financial plan rather than a strategic tool for long-term sustainable development.

This article investigates how to increase the importance of the state budget in ensuring sustainable economic growth. It considers the budget not merely as a mechanism for expenditure and revenue collection, but as a critical policy tool capable of steering economies toward inclusive and green growth trajectories.

The goal of this research is to explore and propose ways to increase the developmental and strategic importance of the state budget in the context of sustainable economic growth. Key objectives include: analyzing the theoretical and empirical relationship between state budgets and sustainability; identifying best practices in public budgeting that promote long-term economic growth; proposing mechanisms to align budget planning with sustainable development goals (SDGs); recommending fiscal reforms to improve efficiency and accountability in budget processes.

## METHODS

This study uses a qualitative-dominant mixed-methods approach, including: Literature Review: A review of academic and policy research on fiscal policy and sustainability; Comparative Case Study Analysis: Examining selected countries that have successfully aligned their budgeting processes with sustainable development goals (e.g., Sweden, South Korea, and Costa Rica); Secondary Data Analysis: Utilizing World Bank, IMF, and UNDP data to examine trends in public investment, budget transparency, and green spending; Policy Analysis: Evaluating existing fiscal policies and reform frameworks proposed by institutions like the OECD and UN.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent years, Uzbekistan has been actively implementing fiscal and institutional reforms aimed at improving the effectiveness of the state budget and its role in ensuring sustainable economic growth. These changes are particularly relevant given the country's transition from a centralized to a market-based economy and its commitment to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).


Copyright @ Corresponding author: Sheraliyev Nurbek Jumanazar ugli

According to the IMF (2021) review, Uzbekistan has made significant progress in modernizing its budget process, including the introduction of medium-term budget planning and digital control tools. The World Bank (2022) also emphasizes that fiscal consolidation in Uzbekistan is coupled with the need to increase investment in human capital and infrastructure, which is critical for sustainable growth.

One key step has been the introduction of SDG budgeting. As noted by UNDP Uzbekistan (2023), since 2019, the country has begun using budget tagging to assess the portion of the budget allocated to achieving the SDGs. Particular attention is paid to areas such as healthcare, education, climate action, gender equality, and environmental protection.

However, according to the OECD (2023), despite positive developments, green budgeting in Uzbekistan remains in its infancy. Financing for climate change and environmental sustainability projects is limited, and the institutional framework for managing such expenditures requires further development.

The Open Budget Partnership (2023) report emphasizes that Uzbekistan demonstrates a moderate level of budget transparency, but citizen participation in the budget process remains limited. However, initiatives such as the publication of the "Citizens' Budget" and the launch of an open budget portal have a positive impact on accountability.

Furthermore, efforts to increase capital expenditures on social sectors and infrastructure reflect the country's commitment to using the budget as a tool to enhance long-term growth potential. According to the Ministry of Economy and Finance of Uzbekistan (2024), more than 48% of the budget is allocated to education, healthcare, social protection, and regional development. At the same time, experts (Safarov, 2022; Tashkent Financial Institute, 2023) note structural problems, such as a high share of subsidized expenditures, dependence on external financing, and insufficient linkages between strategic development programs and actual budget planning.

Thus, literary and analytical sources confirm that Uzbekistan is taking important steps to enhance the budget's role in sustainable development. However, to fully realize the budget's potential as a tool for sustainable growth, further institutionalization of SDG budgeting, improved spending efficiency, and greater citizen participation in the budget process are necessary.

The role of the state budget in ensuring sustainable economic growth has been the subject of attention by both theorists and practitioners in the field of public finance and development. Richard Musgrave (1959) was the first to systematize the functions of fiscal policy, highlighting the distributive, stabilization, and locational roles of the budget as an instrument of government intervention in the economy. Within neoclassical models, Barro (1990) demonstrated how public investment in infrastructure, education, and health can influence endogenous economic growth. His work demonstrated that certain forms of public spending can contribute to increased total factor productivity and long-term growth.

Modern challenges climate change, social inequality, and natural resource scarcity have highlighted the need to integrate the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into budgetary processes. According to the OECD (2019) and UNDP (2022), practices such as SDG budget tagging are already being applied in a number of countries and facilitate more strategic expenditure planning.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF, 2021) emphasizes the use of Medium-Term Expenditure Frameworks (MTEFs), which allow for the alignment of current expenditures with long-term sustainable development goals. Furthermore, the introduction of program-based and performance budgeting allows for the monitoring of the effectiveness of budget programs in the development context. Schick (2009) emphasizes the need for a balanced approach that combines short-term fiscal sustainability with sustainable development goals, including environmental protection and human capital development.

World Bank data (2021) highlight the importance of using digital tools and open data to improve budget transparency and citizen participation. In particular, countries with a high Open Budget Index perform better in implementing investment programs and combating corruption (UNESCAP (2020)).

## RESULTS

Countries that prioritize capital expenditures in infrastructure, education, and renewable energy through their state budgets tend to achieve more resilient and inclusive growth. For example, South Korea's Green New Deal embedded sustainability goals into the national budget, channeling funds toward low-carbon infrastructure and digital innovation. Costa Rica and Colombia have successfully integrated the UN Sustainable Development Goals into national budget cycles. This ensures that allocations are directly linked to environmental and social outcomes, increasing the strategic importance of the budget in long-term planning. Several OECD countries have adopted performance-based budgeting systems that link public expenditure to measurable outcomes, improving accountability and aligning spending with national development goals. Budget transparency and civic engagement are positively correlated with better fiscal


@ 2025 | PUBLISHED BY GJR PUBLICATION, INDIA

outcomes and public trust. Open budget initiatives in countries like Sweden and New Zealand have led to more effective and equitable budget allocations.

**Table 1:** Comparative Analysis of State Budget Practices for Sustainable Economic Growth

| Country | Integration of SDGs in Budget | Capital Investment (% of GDP) | Performance-Based Budgeting | Budget Transparency Score | Sustainability Outcomes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sweden | Yes | 4.2% | Yes | 85/100 | High green growth, strong social services |
| South Korea | Partial | 5.0% | Yes | 78/100 | High-tech innovation, green transition |
| Costa Rica | Yes | 3.6% | No | 72/100 | Strong environmental policy |
| Germany | Partial | 3.9% | Yes | 80/100 | Efficient public sector, energy transition |
| Nigeria | No | 1.8% | No | 36/100 | Limited infrastructure, budget inefficiency |

**Notes**: Budget Transparency Score sourced from the Open Budget Index (OBI), Sustainability Outcomes based on qualitative review of national development and environmental reports, Capital Investment includes education, infrastructure, and energy.

**Table 2.** Comparative analysis of budgetary practices of Uzbekistan in the context of sustainable

| Indicator | Current situation in Uzbekistan | Strengths / Achievements | Challenges / Limitations |
|---|---|---|---|
| Integration of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the "green budget" | SDG budget tagging began in 2019, and green budget elements were introduced. | Transparency is increasing, and it's now clear what portion of spending is related to environmental and social SDGs. | Tagging is not yet strict or systematic; green SDGs receive significantly less funding than social SDGs. |
| Social spending as a share of the budget | In the 2024 budget draft, approximately 48% of budget expenditures will be allocated to education, healthcare, social protection, and other socially oriented items. | This reflects the priority given to social stability and investment in human capital. | Possibly, there is insufficient investment in green infrastructure projects, environmental protection, and climate action. |
| Projected budget deficit and macroeconomic indicators | The draft budget for 2024 projects a consolidated budget deficit of up to 4% of GDP, and around 3% in 2025 and 2026. | GDP growth targets, maintaining key tax rates, and limiting the deficit demonstrate a commitment to fiscal sustainability. | Risks of inflation, external shocks, external debt burden, and the need to balance stimulus and sustainability are highlighted. |
| Mobilization of private and external investment | Uzbekistan is taking steps to issue SDG bonds, develop green bonds, and offer budget support for large investment projects. | There is significant potential for raising funds from the private sector and abroad, and interest in "thematic bonds." | Institutional mechanisms, the regulatory framework, and transparency need to be strengthened to ensure that such instruments are reliable and trustworthy. |
| Transparency and citizen participation | There is a publication called "Budget for Citizens," an open budget portal, budget execution reports, and information on SDG expenditures. | Reporting practices and citizen engagement are improving, which can increase trust and effectiveness. | Information is not available in a timely and convenient format for all areas of budget expenditures and projects; citizen participation is still limited. |

Table 2 demonstrates key aspects of Uzbekistan's fiscal policy and budget planning through the lens of sustainable development. The comparative analysis covers five critical areas: SDG integration, budget expenditure structure, fiscal sustainability, investment mobilization, and budget transparency. Each of these areas can be examined based on theoretical approaches to the role of the budget in sustainable development.

1. Integration of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
   From the perspective of public administration and sustainable development theory, integrating the SDGs into budgeting reflects a shift from a traditional (instrumental) approach to a strategic one. According to the Results-Based Budgeting model, the budget should be not only a mechanism for resource allocation but also an instrument for achieving measurable social and environmental results. SDG budgeting, including budget tagging, is being implemented in Uzbekistan, consistent with global approaches. However, it currently covers a limited range of areas, particularly in relation to environmental goals (OECD, 2023).
2. Expenditure Structure: Prioritizing Capital Investment
   Theoretically, according to Barro's model (1990), long-term growth is only possible with increased public investment in "productive" sectors—infrastructure, education, and healthcare. In Uzbekistan's draft budget for 2024, approximately 48% of expenditures are allocated to social needs, indicating a shift in priorities toward sustainable human development. However, the share of investment in environmental and digital infrastructure, which could become a driver of "green" growth, remains limited.
3. Fiscal Sustainability and Budget Deficit
   The sustainable budget management model (Schick, 2009) assumes a structurally balanced budget while maintaining sufficient room for growth. Uzbekistan plans to keep the consolidated budget deficit within 3–4% of GDP, which is consistent with international recommendations. However, in the context of sustainable development, it is important to consider not only the level of the deficit but also the quality of the financed expenditures, including investments in climate resilience and reducing inequality.
4. Investment Mobilization and Green Finance
   According to the post-Keynesian approach to the role of the state in the economy, the budget should also act as a catalyst for private investment. In Uzbekistan, steps are being taken to issue SDG bonds and attract external resources through "green" and "social" financial instruments. These measures are consistent with the concept of blended finance, in which the state reduces risks for private investors. However, the lack of a developed institutional framework and risk assessment mechanisms currently limits the scale of these initiatives.
5. Budget Transparency and Citizen Participation
   The theory of the "fiscal social contract" emphasizes that the level of trust in the state, accountability, and citizen participation in resource management directly impact the effectiveness of spending. Uzbekistan is implementing tools such as the "Citizens' Budget" and an open budget portal, but public engagement remains low. Without active civic participation and independent oversight, it will be impossible to ensure genuine sustainability and fairness in resource distribution. Thus, theoretical analysis shows that Uzbekistan's budget policy is moving toward a strategic, results-oriented approach. However, to fully realize the budget's potential as a tool for sustainable growth, institutionalizing SDG budgeting, prioritizing investments in green sectors, and strengthening transparency and participation mechanisms are necessary. These changes require not only political will but also active scientific and expert support.

**Table 3:** Linking Key Objectives with Indicators, Best Practices, and Policy Recommendations

| Key Objective | Relevant Indicators | Best Practices (Global Examples) | Policy Recommendations for Uzbekistan |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Analyzing the theoretical and empirical relationship between state budgets and sustainability | - Public investment (% of GDP)<br>- Budget allocations to SDG sectors<br>- Environmental and social spending trends | - OECD countries: Medium-Term Expenditure Frameworks (MTEF) link spending to macro targets<br>- Germany: Sustainability-check of budget laws | - Conduct empirical studies linking budget spending with long-term growth and sustainability outcomes<br>- Develop national "budget-sustainability" metrics |
| 2. Identifying best practices in public budgeting that promote long-term economic growth | - Share of capital vs. current spending<br>- Public sector efficiency index<br>- multi-year budget planning | - South Korea: Green New Deal integrated into budget<br>- Chile: Structural budget balance rule | - Increase capital investments in education, infrastructure, and green energy<br>- Adopt structural budget rules to ensure counter-cyclicality |
| 3. Proposing mechanisms to | - Presence of SDG- | - Costa Rica & Colombia: | - Expand SDG-budget tagging to |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| align budget planning with SDGs | budget tagging<br>- % of budget linked to SDG targets<br>- SDG alignment score (UNDP/IMF tools) | SDG integration into national budgets<br>- France: Green budgeting framework | all ministries<br>- Publish annual "SDG Budget Impact Report"<br>- Link national KPIs with SDG targets in budget documents |
| 4. Recommending fiscal reforms to improve efficiency and accountability in budget processes | - Open Budget Index<br>- Audit coverage and timeliness<br>- Public engagement score | - New Zealand: Citizens' budgets and digital fiscal tools<br>- Sweden: Real-time expenditure monitoring | - Increase transparency via digital dashboards<br>- Implement real-time tracking of public expenditure<br>- Institutionalize participatory budgeting at local level |

Table 3 reflects a systems approach to enhancing the role of the state budget in ensuring sustainable economic growth through the interrelationship of key goals, indicators, international best practices, and reform recommendations. Let us consider the theoretical underpinnings of each of the identified elements.

1. Analysis of the theoretical and empirical relationship between the budget and sustainability. The theoretical framework draws on classical and modern models of fiscal policy, where the budget is viewed as an instrument for resource redistribution and stimulating economic growth (Musgrave, 1959; Barro, 1990). Empirical indicators, such as the share of GDP allocated to SDGs and the budget multiplier, allow for a quantitative assessment of the budget's impact on long-term sustainability. Best practices include conducting fiscal assessments and "sustainability reviews" of budget decisions (OECD). For Uzbekistan, the creation of a budget-SDG alignment index is important, as it promotes transparency and expenditure efficiency.
2. Identification of best practices in budgeting for long-term growth. Theoretically, increasing capital expenditures in productive sectors is considered a key driver of endogenous growth (Barro, 1990). The medium-term budgeting (MTEF) model promotes predictability and sustainability of financing. Examples from South Korea and Chile demonstrate the effectiveness of integrated budgeting that takes into account environmental and structural factors. For Uzbekistan, this means increasing investment in human capital and green infrastructure, as well as implementing the MTEF.
3. Proposing mechanisms for aligning budget planning with the SDGs. From a systems management perspective, integrating the SDGs requires not only formal accounting but also coordination between ministries and levels of government (France, Colombia). The use of budget tagging and program budgeting allows for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of SDG implementation. For Uzbekistan, this means expanding SDG budgeting practices to the regional and municipal levels, as well as creating interdepartmental commissions.
4. Recommendations for fiscal reforms to improve efficiency and accountability. Fiscal transparency and accountability theories emphasize the importance of citizen participation and the digitalization of budget processes (Schick, 2009). The Open Budget Index (OBI) and e-participation tools demonstrate a positive effect on the quality of budget management (New Zealand, Sweden). In the context of Uzbekistan, these practices will help strengthen trust in government institutions and improve spending efficiency through digital platforms and independent audit.
5. Thus, the theoretical analysis of Table 3 confirms that successfully enhancing the role of the state budget in sustainable economic growth is achieved through a comprehensive combination of: clear, measurable indicators, implementation of international best practices, institutional mechanisms for coordination and integration of SDGs, and reforms aimed at increasing transparency and accountability. These elements form the basis for the development of effective fiscal policy adapted to the challenges and opportunities of a specific country, including Uzbekistan.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The state budget must evolve from a static annual financial document into a dynamic instrument of sustainable economic governance. This study finds that: strategic alignment of budgets with national development plans and sdgs enhances long-term impact; reallocation toward productive investments, especially in human capital and green infrastructure, supports both growth and sustainability; institutional reforms such as medium-term expenditure frameworks, participatory budgeting, and digital tools improve efficiency and responsiveness; transparent and accountable budget systems help build public trust and policy coherence.

## CONCLUSION

To increase the importance of the state budget in ensuring sustainable economic growth, governments must adopt a forward-looking, inclusive, and performance-oriented fiscal policy. This transformation requires political will, institutional capacity, and collaboration with civil society and international partners.

## REFERENCES


1. Allen, R., Hemming, R., & Potter, B. (Eds.). (2013). *The international handbook of public financial management.* London: Palgrave Macmillan.
2. Barro, R. J. (1990). Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth. *Journal of Political Economy, 98*(5), S103–S125.
3. Institute for Forecasting and Macroeconomic Research (IFMR). (2023). *Financing the SDGs in Uzbekistan: Challenges and priorities.*
4. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2021). *Public financial management and sustainable development goals.* IMF Policy Paper. https://www.imf.org
5. International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2021). *Uzbekistan: Staff report for the Article IV consultation.* https://www.imf.org
6. Ministry of Economy and Finance of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2024). *Information on the state budget for 2024.* https://www.mf.uz
7. Musgrave, R. A. (1959). *The theory of public finance: A study in public economy.* New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
8. OECD. (2019). *Budgeting for sustainable development: Integrating the SDGs into the budget process.* https://www.oecd.org
9. OECD. (2023). *Financing Uzbekistan's green transition.* https://www.oecd.org
10. Open Budget Partnership. (2023). *Open budget survey 2023: Global report.* https://www.internationalbudget.org
11. Open Budget Partnership. (2023). *Open budget survey: Uzbekistan country summary.* https://www.internationalbudget.org
12. Safarov, A. Sh. (2022). Improving budget planning mechanisms in Uzbekistan in the context of sustainable development. *Economy and Innovative Technologies, (1),* 45–53.
13. Schick, A. (2009). Sustainable budget policy: Concepts and approaches. *OECD Journal on Budgeting, 9*(2), 107–126.
14. Tashkent Financial Institute. (2023). *The budget system of Uzbekistan: Problems and prospects for sustainability.* Tashkent: TFI.
15. UNDP. (2022). *SDG budgeting in Asia-Pacific: Evidence and practice.* United Nations Development Programme. https://www.undp.org
16. UNDP Uzbekistan. (2023). *Uzbekistan introduces green budgeting and SDG budgeting.* https://www.undp.org/uzbekistan
17. UNESCAP. (2020). *Financing the SDGs in Asia and the Pacific: Highlights and key messages.* United Nations ESCAP.
18. UNESCAP. (2020). *Public finance and the sustainable development goals: Uzbekistan country note.* https://www.unescap.org
19. World Bank. (2021). *World development report: Data for better lives.* Washington, DC: World Bank.
20. World Bank. (2022). *Uzbekistan public expenditure review.* https://www.worldbank.org


**CITATION**

Sheraliyev, N. J. U. (2025). Enhancing the Role of the State Budget in Ensuring Sustainable Economic Growth. In Global Journal of Research in Business Management (Vol. 5, Number 5, pp. 90–95). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17405131



@ 2025 | PUBLISHED BY GJR PUBLICATION, INDIA