OPEN ACCESS
Global Journal of Research in Humanities & Cultural Studies
ISSN: 2583-2670 (Online)
Volume 05 | Issue 01 | Jan.-Feb. | 2025
Journal homepage: https://gjrpublication.com/gjrhcs/
Review Article

Advancements in Multiobjective Shortest Path Algorithms: Techniques, Challenges and Applications

*Mrs. Vathsalya [1], Dr. Anant Kumar Kulkarni [2], Mrs. Ramya N C [3]

[1]Research Scholars, Srinivas University, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India
[2]HOD, Department of Mathematics, Srinivas Institute of Technology, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India
[3]Research Scholars, Srinivas University, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14807707 **Submission Date:** 02 Jan. 2025 | **Published Date:** 05 Feb. 2025

***Corresponding author:** Mrs. Vathsalya
Research Scholars, Srinivas University, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract

The multi objective shortest path problem is a cornerstone in multi objective optimization. It concerns the problem of optimal solutions that must simultaneously satisfy several conflicting criteria. This review appraises progress made on label-correcting algorithms, concentrating on strategies for node and label selection, and the new tree-deletion pruning technique. Contrary to earlier claims, the latest works show that carefully designed node-selection strategies are better than label-selection strategies. In addition, tree-deletion pruning has been a promising technique in the real world as applied to network-based traffic management in transportation networks. The survey will combine the results of artificial and real-world datasets, indicating several performance metrics across different graph structures, including random, grid, and complete graphs. Some strategies of the implementation in C++ are discussed with many optimizations in memory management and efficient computation. It combines insights from 20 referenced studies, foundational theories, and recent computational experiments to provide an all-round view of MOSP methodologies and their practical implications.

Keywords: *Multi objective Shortest Path (MOSP), Label-Correcting Algorithms, Tree-Deletion Pruning, Node-Selection Strategies, Network-Based Traffic Management.*

1. Introduction

For these reasons, besides transportation and the optimization of both telecommunications and the supply chain, the MOSP problem is in all these and additional applications much more diverse than conventional single-criterion shortest path problems, while uniquely in each case it always solves for all solutions that are in Pareto optima. Finally, another variation with one goal in the class of traditional provided shortest-path, no one among the set objectives of an individual MOSP is strong without making other ones weak. Thus, together with the growth of the Pareto front size with the increasing number of the objectives, natural computational complexity does make the designing of efficient algorithmic strategies an inevitable issue related to the managing of the computationally expensive task, which requires meeting the demand for computation in achieving an exact solution.

Labeling algorithms of the classical MOSP approaches are generally divided into two major categories: label-setting and label-correcting algorithms. Label-correcting algorithms allowed the reversal of already processed labels, which made them more competitive in high-dimensional objective spaces. In the latter, node-selection and label-selection strategies control the order of actions and both influence the computational efficiency as well as accuracy of the obtained solution. Earlier studies favored label-selection for it appears to be easier and successful on benchmark instances. However, the latest studies question this idea, and there are scenarios where node-selection strategies show significant advantages.

The innovation in the MOSP algorithm, namely the introduction of the TD, gets rid of all the redundancy with the label correcting methods by canceling out all dominated labels together with their computing branches. Effective for dense correlated objective scenarios it is, proving highly effective also in real-life transportation networks where most of its density and correlations occur. Significant amounts of computational time and memory usage could be reduced further by incorporating the TD into those algorithms.

These developments go beyond mere theoretical interest. For the practical cases of route planning in road networks and multi-criteria decision-making in logistics, scalability and reliability of solutions are highly demanded. Computational studies using real-world data point to practical relevance and show that MOSP research is related to application more directly than only by theoretical development.

The main aim of this review is to sum up present knowledge on MOSP methodologies, keeping in mind the interaction between algorithmic design and practical implementation. Contributions found in the literature will be presented as having potentially great interest for furthering the field or possibilities for future research. This review ranges from fundamental studies to recent innovations in synthesizing foundational studies with recent innovations as a comprehensive overview of the present state of MOSP research.

2. Review of Methodologies

The solution techniques of MOSP are based on labeling algorithms that store at each node within the graph a set of potential solutions known as labels. There are two broad categories of these types of algorithms: label-setting and label-correcting. Label-setting algorithms guarantee that every time a label is processed, it will be part of the Pareto front. This is a computationally simple approach but not very scalable for high dimensional scenarios. Label-correcting algorithms allow for iterative refinements of labels and thus greater flexibility and performance in complex instances. Some seminal studies by Martins (1984) [8] and further analyses by Raith & Ehrgott (2009) [11] have demonstrated comparative advantages of label-correcting methods, especially in multi objective contexts with a high number of criteria.

The node-selection and label-selection strategies were found to significantly affect the performance of MOSP algorithms. Earlier work, such as that of Guerriero & Musmanno (2001) [6], focused more on the simplicity and performance of label-selection strategies, particularly in bi-objective problems. However, a more recent study using a computational approach by Paixão & Santos (2009) [9] reported that optimized node-selection strategies may even outperform their label-selection counterparts. Node-selection techniques excel in exploiting data locality and efficient memory management, which proves particularly advantageous in dense graphs with multiple objectives. These findings highlight the importance of aligning selection strategies with the characteristics of the problem instance.

TD is the latest innovation that has come into the world to address the redundancy of computation in MOSP algorithms. The elimination of dominated labels and their corresponding branches helps improve the efficiency of label-correcting methods. This approach is very effective in dense graphs and high objective correlations, like transportation networks. Experiments on real Western European Road networks showed that TD is very useful in practice and provides up to 3.5-fold speedup over classical methods. Combination of TD with both node-selection and label-selection strategies significantly broadened their applicability in real-world contexts.

Efficiency of implementation is one aspect that must assure the success of MOSP algorithms in practical implementation. There grows, however interest in the use of C++ to write the algorithms, based on its features designed for performance. The three main strategies therefore include memory optimization of the standard library of std::deque and std::list; structuring of data according to particular needs that have to be met in algorithms; and, of course, achieving scalability with respect to different kinds of graphs that may range from sparse road networks to dense artificial graphs. This insight in the implementation brings important translation into theoretical progress towards practical, scalable solutions.

3. Conclusion

The development of MOSP algorithms provides a good illustration of the fruitful interaction between theory and practice. Label-correcting algorithms, with node-selection strategies and tree-deletion pruning being the most widely used, represent the state-of-the-art in high-dimensional optimization problem solving. A comparison of relative efficiency between node-selection and label-selection strategies, especially in the case of dense graphs, illustrates the necessity for adapting methods to problem contexts. Further, tree-deletion pruning is found to reduce computational redundancy to considerable extents and also provides significant speed-ups and scalabilities especially in the real-world networks.

Practical implementations in languages like C++ are manifestations of the translation of theoretical principles into effective, scalable solutions. In fact, efficient memory management and choice of data structure have been key to the attack on the challenges of computation arising in large-scale datasets from real life. Their presence in applications involving transportation networks and logistics reflects an impact on reality.

Hybrid methodologies, based on complementarity of known approaches, should be researched next. While increases in computational power and data availability render certain problems less serious, like dynamic environments or real-time constraints, further scope for innovation remains in the applications of MOSP algorithms toward emerging fields like autonomous systems and multi-agent coordination. Continuous refining and applying of MOSP algorithms promise to continue moving multi-criteria decision-making ahead and therefore improve the optimization in complex, more connected systems.

4. References

1. Bertsekas, D.P., Guerriero, F., & Musmanno, R. (1996). Parallel asynchronous label-correcting methods for shortest paths. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, *88*(2), 297–320.
2. Bökler, F., Ehrgott, M., Morris, C., & Mutzel, P. (2016). Output-sensitive complexity for multiobjective combinatorial optimization. *Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis.*
3. Brumbaugh-Smith, J., & Shier, D. (1989). An empirical investigation of some bicriterion shortest path algorithms. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *43*(2), 216–224.
4. Clímaco, J.C.N., & Pascoal, M.M.B. (2012). Multicriteria path and tree problems: Discussion on exact algorithms and applications. *International Transactions in Operational Research, 19*(1–2), 63–98.
5. Delling, D., & Wagner, D. (2009). Pareto paths with SHARC. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 5526*, 125–136.
6. Guerriero, F., & Musmanno, R. (2001). Label correcting methods to solve multicriteria shortest path problems. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 111*(3), 589–613.
7. Hansen, P. (1979). Bicriterion path problems. In *Multiple Criteria Decision-Making Theory and Application* (pp. 109–127). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
8. Martins, E.Q.V. (1984). On a multicriteria shortest path problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *16*, 236–245.
9. Paixão, J., & Santos, J. (2009). Labelling methods for the general case of the multiobjective shortest path problem: A computational study. *Intelligent Systems, Control and Automation: Science and Engineering*, 489–502.
10. Paixão, J.M., & Santos, J.L. (2007). Labelling methods for the general case of the multiobjective shortest path problem. Technical Report 07-42, Universidade de Coimbra.
11. Raith, A., & Ehrgott, M. (2009). A comparison of solution strategies for biobjective shortest path problems. *Computers & Operations Research*, *36*(4), 1299–1311.
12. Tung, C.T., & Chew, K.L. (1992). A multicriteria Pareto-optimal path algorithm. *European Journal of Operational Research, 62*, 203–229.
13. Dijkstra, E.W. (1959). A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische Mathematik*, *1*(1), 269–271.
14. Bellman, R. (1958). On a routing problem. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, 16*(1), 87–90.
15. Warshall, S. (1962). A theorem on Boolean matrices. *Journal of the ACM, 9*(1), 11–12.
16. Floyd, R.W. (1962). Algorithm 97: Shortest Path. *Communications of the ACM*, *5*(6), 345.
17. Yen, J.Y. (1971). Finding the k shortest loopless paths in a network. *Management Science, 17*(11), 712–716.
18. Bhandari, R. (1999). Survivable networks: Algorithms for diverse routing. *Kluwer Academic Publishers.*
19. Johnson, D.B. (1977). Efficient algorithms for shortest paths in sparse networks. *Journal of the ACM, 24*(1), 1–13.
20. Ahuja, R.K., Mehlhorn, K., Orlin, J.B., & Tarjan, R.E. (1990). Faster algorithms for the shortest path problem. *Journal of the ACM, 37*(2), 213–233.

CITATION

Vathsalya, Kulkarni, A. K., & Ramya N C. (2025). Advancements in Multiobjective Shortest Path Algorithms: Techniques, Challenges and Applications. In Global Journal of Research in Humanities & Cultural Studies (Vol. 5, Number 1, pp. 57–59). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14807707