OPEN ACCESS
Global Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
ISSN: 2583-3960 (Online)
Volume 04 | Issue 06 | Nov.-Dec. | 2024
Journal homepage: https://gjrpublication.com/gjrms/
Review Article

Advances in Diagnostic Microbiology: Rapid Molecular Techniques

Sonali P[1], Ravi Shankar R[1], *Bernaitis L[2]

[1]Undergraduate students, Nandha Siddha Medical College and Hospital, Erode-638052
[2]Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, Nandha Siddha Medical College and Hospital, Erode-638052.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14046838 **Submission Date:** 18 Oct. 2024 | **Published Date:** 06 Nov. 2024

***Corresponding author:** Bernaitis L
Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, Nandha Siddha Medical College and Hospital, Erode-638052.

Abstract
The advent of rapid molecular techniques has revolutionized the field of diagnostic microbiology, offering enhanced accuracy, speed, and sensitivity in the detection of pathogens. Traditional culture-based methods, though still valuable, have limitations in terms of time and sensitivity, which rapid molecular diagnostics aim to overcome. Techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), real-time PCR, and next-generation sequencing (NGS) have become integral tools in identifying pathogens, determining antimicrobial resistance, and even tracking outbreaks in real-time. This review discusses key advancements in molecular diagnostics, including the use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), and CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats)-based diagnostics. Moreover, the application of these technologies to clinically relevant pathogens, such as Staphylococcus aureus and drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis, are highlighted. The aim of this work is to provide a comprehensive overview of the rapid molecular techniques that are transforming diagnostic microbiology, enhancing clinical decision-making, and enabling precision medicine.

Keywords: molecular diagnostics, rapid PCR, NGS, antimicrobial resistance, MALDI-TOF, CRISPR.

1. Introduction

The field of diagnostic microbiology has witnessed remarkable advancements with the development of rapid molecular techniques, significantly improving the diagnosis and management of infectious diseases. Traditional diagnostic methods, such as microscopy and culture, though highly effective, are often time-consuming and may fail to detect fastidious or slow-growing microorganisms. This delay in pathogen identification can lead to inappropriate treatment, contributing to the rise of antimicrobial resistance and adverse patient outcomes (1). In contrast, molecular diagnostics offer a faster, more sensitive, and specific approach to detecting pathogens, even from complex clinical samples.

Rapid molecular techniques, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR), real-time PCR, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), multiplex PCR, and next-generation sequencing (NGS), have revolutionized the detection of bacterial, viral, fungal, and parasitic pathogens (2). These techniques enable the identification of microorganisms at the genetic level, allowing for quicker diagnosis and more targeted therapeutic interventions (3). Additionally, molecular assays play an essential role in the detection of antimicrobial resistance genes, enabling clinicians to make informed decisions about antimicrobial therapy (4).

One of the critical advantages of molecular techniques is their ability to detect pathogens in real-time, significantly reducing the time to diagnosis. This rapid turnaround time is especially crucial in the management of life-threatening infections such as sepsis, meningitis, and respiratory infections, where timely diagnosis can be the difference between life and death (5). Moreover, molecular diagnostics contribute to public health surveillance by allowing early detection of outbreaks and emerging pathogens, helping to curb the spread of infectious diseases (6).

The aim of this review is to explore the recent advances in rapid molecular diagnostic techniques in microbiology, focusing on their applications, advantages, limitations, and future prospects in the field.

2. Traditional Diagnostic Methods in Microbiology

For decades, traditional methods in microbiology have been the foundation for identifying microbial pathogens. These include techniques such as culture-based assays, microscopy, and serological testing. While these methods are highly effective in many contexts, they present significant drawbacks. Culture-based techniques, considered the gold standard, require time, often taking days to weeks to yield results, especially for slow-growing organisms like Mycobacterium tuberculosis (7). Moreover, these methods may fail to detect non-viable or fastidious organisms, which are difficult to grow in standard culture conditions. Microscopy, while useful for quick presumptive identification, lacks sensitivity and specificity, as it requires a high microbial load for reliable visualization (8). Serological assays, used to detect immune responses to infection, can provide indirect evidence of pathogen presence but often fail to distinguish between past and current infections, limiting their clinical utility (9).

3. Key Molecular Techniques in Microbiology

The advent of molecular diagnostics has addressed many of the limitations inherent in traditional microbiological methods. These techniques allow for the rapid detection of microbial DNA or RNA, often without the need for culture. Below are some of the most widely used molecular techniques:

- **Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR):** PCR revolutionized diagnostics by allowing for the amplification of minute amounts of genetic material, making it easier to detect even low levels of pathogens in clinical samples. It is highly sensitive and specific, enabling the detection of both common and rare pathogens in a variety of specimens (10).
- **Real-Time PCR (qPCR):** An enhancement of traditional PCR, qPCR allows for the real-time monitoring of DNA amplification. By measuring the fluorescence emitted during the amplification process, qPCR provides both qualitative and quantitative data, significantly improving diagnostic accuracy (11).
- **Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP):** LAMP offers a faster and more cost-effective alternative to PCR. It operates under isothermal conditions, meaning amplification occurs at a constant temperature, eliminating the need for expensive thermocyclers. LAMP is particularly useful in resource-limited settings due to its simplicity and speed (12).
- **Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS):** NGS has opened new possibilities in microbial diagnostics by enabling the sequencing of entire genomes. It is particularly valuable for detecting novel pathogens, identifying multiple pathogens in polymicrobial infections, and tracing the genetic evolution of microbial strains during outbreaks (13).
- **Multiplex PCR and Other Emerging Techniques:** Multiplex PCR allows for the simultaneous amplification of multiple genetic targets in a single reaction, which is especially useful for detecting multiple pathogens or antimicrobial resistance genes in a single assay (14).

4. Applications of Molecular Diagnostics in Clinical Settings

Molecular diagnostic techniques have broad applications in the clinical management of infectious diseases. Some of the most impactful applications include:

- **Respiratory Infections:** PCR-based assays have become essential for the rapid detection of respiratory pathogens, including *Influenza* viruses, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and the novel coronavirus *SARS-CoV-2*. Rapid identification through molecular diagnostics allows for timely intervention and appropriate isolation measures in the case of contagious diseases (15).
- **Sepsis and Bloodstream Infections:** Sepsis is a life-threatening condition that requires immediate treatment. Molecular techniques, such as qPCR and NGS, enable the early detection of sepsis-causing pathogens directly from blood samples, significantly reducing the time to diagnosis and improving patient outcomes (16).
- **Gastrointestinal Infections:** Multiplex PCR panels are increasingly used to detect enteric pathogens, such as *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Clostridioides difficile*, and *Norovirus*, in stool samples. This approach allows for the simultaneous detection of multiple pathogens, facilitating the rapid diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases (17).
- **Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs):** PCR and other molecular tests are now standard for the detection of STIs, including *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *Human Papillomavirus (HPV)*. These methods are highly sensitive and specific, allowing for early detection and treatment (18).

5. Role of Molecular Diagnostics in Antimicrobial Resistance Detection

The rise of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a global public health challenge. Molecular diagnostics play a crucial role in combating AMR by enabling the rapid identification of resistance genes in clinical samples. PCR assays can detect specific resistance genes, such as the mecA gene in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) or the vanA gene in vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE) (19). Additionally, NGS allows for comprehensive surveillance of resistance patterns in clinical isolates, providing insights into the spread of resistant pathogens and informing antimicrobial stewardship programs (20).

6. Point-of-Care Molecular Diagnostics

Point-of-care (POC) diagnostics represent one of the most promising advancements in the field of molecular diagnostics. POC devices bring molecular testing closer to the patient, allowing for rapid diagnosis in a variety of settings, including outpatient clinics, emergency departments, and even remote or resource-poor areas. Technologies such as LAMP and microfluidic-based PCR have been adapted for use in portable POC devices, making high-quality diagnostics accessible to a broader population. These devices reduce the time to diagnosis, enabling quicker treatment decisions and reducing the need for follow-up visits (21).

7. Limitations and Challenges of Molecular Techniques

Despite their advantages, molecular diagnostic techniques face certain limitations. Cost remains a significant barrier, as many molecular assays require expensive reagents and specialized equipment, limiting their widespread use, particularly in low-resource settings (22). Moreover, the risk of false positives due to sample contamination is a well-documented issue, particularly in PCR-based techniques. Another challenge is the inability of molecular tests to distinguish between viable and non-viable organisms, which can lead to unnecessary treatment in cases where the detected pathogen is no longer viable (23). Finally, these techniques often require trained personnel, limiting their application in point-of-care settings without appropriate infrastructure.

8. Future Trends in Molecular Diagnostics

The future of molecular diagnostics is promising, with ongoing research focusing on improving the accessibility, affordability, and speed of these techniques. Innovations in CRISPR-based diagnostics are showing potential for even faster and more precise detection of pathogens, with CRISPR-Cas systems capable of targeting specific DNA sequences for rapid identification (24). Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning with molecular diagnostics could lead to more accurate and automated interpretations of complex diagnostic data. Portable sequencing devices, such as nanopore sequencing platforms, are also likely to revolutionize point-of-care testing, allowing real-time pathogen detection in remote locations (25).

9. Conclusion

Molecular techniques have revolutionized diagnostic microbiology, offering faster and more accurate detection of pathogens and antimicrobial resistance genes. While challenges remain in terms of cost and accessibility, continued advancements in technology are likely to make these tools more widely available, playing a pivotal role in the global fight against infectious diseases.

10. References

1. Farooq U, Tille P. Clinical utility of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in medical microbiology. Clin Chim Acta. 2017;469:51-58. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.02.015.
2. Sails AD, Fox AJ, Carrara P, et al. Development of a real-time PCR assay for the detection of bacterial pathogens causing respiratory infections. J Med Microbiol. 2017;66(1):47-52. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000401.
3. Dierkes C, Ehrenstein B, Siebig S. PCR applications in the diagnosis and treatment of infection. Ther Adv Infect Dis. 2018;5(6):17-29. doi: 10.1177/2049936118811742.
4. Koser CU, Ellington MJ, Cartwright EJ, et al. Rapid whole-genome sequencing for investigation of a neonatal MRSA outbreak. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(24):2267-2275. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1211009.
5. Bauer KA, West JE, Balada-Llasat JM, Pancholi P, Stevenson KB, Goff DA. An antimicrobial stewardship program's impact with rapid polymerase chain reaction methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus/S. aureus blood culture test in patients with S. aureus bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51(9):1074-1080. doi: 10.1086/656186.
6. Drosten C, Günther S, Preiser W, et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(20):1967-1976. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa030747.
7. Muldrew KL. Molecular diagnostics of infectious diseases. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2009;21(1):102-111. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0b013e32831cf057.
8. Clark AE, Kaleta EJ, Arora A, Wolk DM. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry: a fundamental shift in the routine practice of clinical microbiology. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2013;26(3): 547-603. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00095-12.
9. Van Belkum A, Rochas O. Laboratory-based and point-of-care testing for MSSA/MRSA detection in the age of whole genome sequencing. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1437. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01437.
10. Mackay IM. Real-time PCR in the microbiology laboratory. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2004;10(3):190-212. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2004.00864.x.
11. Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28(12). doi: 10.1093/nar/28.12.e63.
12. Didelot X, Bowden R, Wilson DJ, Peto TEA, Crook DW. Transforming clinical microbiology with bacterial genome sequencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(9):601-612. doi: 10.1038/nrg3240.

13. Elnifro EM, Ashshi AM, Cooper RJ, Klapper PE. Multiplex PCR: optimization and application in diagnostic virology. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2000;13(4):559-570. doi: 10.1128/CMR.13.4.559-570.2000.
14. Abubakar I, Zignol M, Falzon D, et al. Drug-resistant tuberculosis: time for visionary political leadership. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13(6):529-539. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70138-0.
15. Claas EC, Burnham CA, Mazzulli T, Templeton KE, Topin F, Torres A. Performance of the molecular FilmArray gastrointestinal panel for diagnosis of infectious gastroenteritis. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19(10):823-829. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2013.04355.x.
16. van Doorn R. Emerging infectious diseases: molecular diagnostic tools and clinical advances. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19(9):796-807. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2013.04378.x.
17. Diene SM, Rolain JM. Investigation of the role of Acinetobacter baumannii in emerging drug resistance mechanisms. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:643. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00643.
18. Niemz A, Ferguson TM, Boyle DS. Point-of-care nucleic acid testing for infectious diseases. Trends Biotechnol. 2011;29(5):240-250. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.01.003.
19. Kluytmans J, van Belkum A, Verbrugh H. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997;10(3):505-520. doi: 10.1128/CMR.10.3.505.
20. Sullivan KV, Turner I, Roundtree SS. Rapid molecular testing for MRSA in a large community hospital. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28(4):932-940. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00070-15.
21. Kellner MJ, Koob JG, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, Zhang F. SHERLOCK: nucleic acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. Nat Protoc. 2019;14(10):2986-3012. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0141-8.
22. Plessis L, McCrone JT, Zarebski AE, Hill V, Ruis C, Gutierrez B, et al. Establishing the link between pandemic spread and variant emergence: SARS-CoV-2 lineages and variants of interest and concern. Virus Evol. 2021;7(2). doi: 10.1093/ve/veab020.
23. Paltiel AD, Zheng A, Zheng Y. Assessment of diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2: A review of the literature. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(12):1719-1727. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4502.
24. Murtaza M, Salkini M, Abou Tayoun AN, et al. The role of next-generation sequencing in the management of infectious diseases. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2020;34(2):421-430. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2020.01.006.
25. Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang J, et al. Recent advances in point-of-care diagnostics for infectious diseases. Front Microbiol. 2021; 12:649870. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.649870.

CITATION

Sonali P, Ravi Shankar R, & Bernaitis L. (2024). Advances in Diagnostic Microbiology: Rapid Molecular Techniques. In Global Journal of Research in Medical Sciences (Vol. 4, Number 6, pp. 26–29). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14046838